



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF RIGHT TO SETTLE BETWEEN INDIA AND CANADA

AUTHORED BY - PRIYANKA SUMEET MANE

Abstract

This comparative analysis explores the right to settle in India and Canada, two diverse and democratic nations with differing constitutional frameworks and legal provisions governing immigration and residency. In India, the right to settle is enshrined in Article 19(1)(e) of the Constitution, emphasizing the fundamental right to reside and settle within the country. The legal framework is primarily governed by the Citizenship Act of 1955, which outlines criteria for acquiring Indian citizenship and regulations for immigration and residency permits. Individuals seeking to settle in India may encounter bureaucratic procedures and documentation requirements, navigating through diverse cultural landscapes and regional disparities.

In Canada, the right to settle is implicit in mobility rights affirmed by the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, particularly in Section 6, which guarantees citizens the right to enter, remain in, and leave Canada. The Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA) outlines procedures for immigration, including economic immigration, family sponsorship, and refugee resettlement. Canada's immigration system is known for its inclusivity and diversity, welcoming immigrants from various backgrounds through pathways to permanent residency and citizenship. Despite differences in approach, both countries offer opportunities for individuals to establish roots, contribute to society, and pursue a better quality of life. Understanding the nuances of the right to settle is essential for individuals navigating the immigration process and policymakers shaping immigration policies in both nations. This comparative analysis sheds light on the varying legal frameworks and implications for individuals exercising their right to settle in India and Canada, contributing to a deeper understanding of citizenship, immigration, and societal integration in diverse global contexts.

Key Words: Right to Settle, Freedom, Fundamental Right, Immigration, Citizenship

Introduction

"The right to settle in a country stands as a cornerstone of citizenship and immigration policies worldwide. In the diverse and democratic nations of India and Canada, this right holds significant importance, albeit within distinct constitutional frameworks and legal provisions. While both countries uphold the principle of settling within their territories, disparities emerge in how this right is enshrined and regulated. India, for instance, underscores the right to settle as a fundamental aspect of citizenship, as articulated in Article 19(1)(e) of its Constitution. This provision guarantees citizens the freedom to reside and establish roots anywhere within the country, reflecting a commitment to individual liberty and mobility. In contrast, Canada's approach centers on mobility rights, with the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms emphasizing the freedom of citizens to enter, remain in, and leave the country. Although not explicitly stated, this encompasses the right to settle and reflects Canada's inclusive immigration policies."

"Despite these differences, both India and Canada offer pathways for individuals to make their homes, contribute to society, and pursue a better life. This article aims to conduct a comparative analysis of the right to settle in India and Canada, delving into their respective constitutional foundations, legal frameworks, and implications for individuals seeking to exercise this right. By examining these nuances, we seek to deepen our understanding of citizenship, immigration, and societal integration within diverse global contexts. Furthermore, insights garnered from this analysis can inform policymakers and stakeholders in crafting immigration policies that align with societal values and promote inclusive, welcoming environments for newcomers.¹"

Constitutional Foundation

India:

The Indian Constitution, adopted in 1950, is renowned for its comprehensive framework of fundamental rights, which are designed to protect the liberties and freedoms of all citizens. Article 19 is particularly significant as it enumerates various freedoms, including the freedom of speech and expression, assembly, association, movement, residence, and profession. Among these, Article 19(1)(e)² specifically addresses the right to reside and settle in any part of India.

The right to settle is considered implicit within the broader context of personal liberty and mobility guaranteed by Article 19. It underscores the principle that individuals have the freedom to choose their place of residence within the territorial boundaries of the nation. This provision is

¹ Dr. Durga Das Basu, *Comparative Constitutional Law*, 68 (Third Edition)

² Art. 19, the Constitution of India

crucial for maintaining social cohesion and ensuring that citizens have the autonomy to pursue opportunities and establish roots wherever they deem fit within the country.

Moreover, the recognition of the right to settle as a fundamental aspect of personal freedom underscores India's commitment to principles of equality and non-discrimination. By affirming every citizen's right to reside and settle without arbitrary restrictions, the Constitution fosters inclusivity and promotes the integration of diverse communities across the nation.

Canada:

In contrast to India, Canada's constitutional approach to the right to settle is anchored in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, which was enacted in 1982 as part of the Constitution Act. The Charter serves as a cornerstone of Canadian democracy, guaranteeing a wide array of rights and freedoms to all individuals within the country's jurisdiction.

While the Canadian Constitution does not explicitly mention the right to settle, it addresses this aspect through the broader framework of mobility rights articulated in Section 6 of the Charter. Section 6 ensures that Canadian citizens have the freedom to enter, remain in, and depart from Canada. While the language used does not directly reference "settling," the right to mobility inherently encompasses the ability to establish permanent residency and settle within the country. The implicit protection of the right to settle under mobility rights reflects Canada's commitment to principles of inclusivity, diversity, and respect for individual autonomy. By affirming the freedom of citizens to choose their place of residence and build lives within the nation, the Canadian Charter promotes social cohesion and facilitates the integration of immigrants and newcomers into Canadian society.

Legal Frameworks:

India:

India's legal framework concerning the right to settle is indeed multifaceted, with its cornerstone being the Citizenship Act of 1955. This legislation lays down the foundational principles and procedures for acquiring Indian citizenship. The Act delineates several avenues through which individuals can become Indian citizens, including by birth, descent, registration, and naturalization.

Birth and descent are primary methods by which individuals can acquire citizenship. Those born within the territorial confines of India or born to Indian parents automatically qualify for citizenship under certain conditions specified in the Act. Registration and naturalization, on the other hand, provide pathways for foreign nationals to obtain Indian citizenship, subject to

fulfilling specific eligibility criteria outlined in the law.

Moreover, India's legal framework governing the right to settle extends beyond citizenship laws to encompass regulations and policies pertaining to immigration and residency. These regulations are designed to manage the inflow of foreign nationals into the country while safeguarding the rights of both citizens and immigrants residing within its borders.

Visas, residency permits, work permits, and other legal requirements form part of this regulatory framework, providing guidelines for individuals seeking to establish permanent residency in India. These regulations help ensure that immigration processes are conducted in a transparent and orderly manner, facilitating the integration of immigrants into Indian society while upholding the rule of law³.

Overall, India's legal framework concerning the right to settle reflects a balance between facilitating immigration and ensuring the protection of the rights of both citizens and immigrants. By delineating clear procedures for acquiring citizenship and establishing residency, the legal framework seeks to promote social cohesion and inclusivity while safeguarding national interests and security⁴.

Canada:

Canada's legal framework for the right to settle mirrors India's in its comprehensiveness and complexity. At the heart of this framework lies the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA), which serves as the primary legislation governing immigration to Canada. The IRPA outlines various categories under which individuals can immigrate to Canada, including economic immigration, family sponsorship, and refugee resettlement. This legislation not only establishes the procedures for immigration but also sets criteria to ensure that immigrants contribute positively to Canada's economy and society.

Additionally, Canadian citizenship can be obtained through different pathways as delineated in the Citizenship Act. Similar to India, birth right citizenship is granted to individuals born in Canada, while citizenship by descent is available to those with Canadian parents. Naturalization, on the other hand, provides a route for foreign nationals to become Canadian citizens after fulfilling residency and eligibility requirements. This process underscores Canada's commitment to welcoming immigrants and embracing diversity as a cornerstone of its national identity.

³ Jaro Jasmin, A Comparative Study of Fundamental Rights in India and Canada, chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgclefindmkaj/https://www.ijsr.net/archive/v6i6/24061710.pdf, Last seen on 25/04/2024

⁴ Vivek Krishnamurthy, Colonial Cousins: Explaining India and Canada's Unwritten Constitutional Principles, chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgclefindmkaj/https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/72839538.pdf, Last seen on 25/04/2024

Overall, both India and Canada have developed robust legal frameworks governing the right to settle, which encompass citizenship laws, immigration regulations, and policies aimed at facilitating the integration of immigrants into society. These frameworks reflect the shared values of inclusivity, diversity, and respect for individual rights, while also addressing the practical considerations of managing migration flows and ensuring the well-being of both citizens and newcomers.

Implications for Individuals:

India:

Settling in India, particularly for foreign nationals, often involves navigating bureaucratic procedures and fulfilling specific documentation requirements. The process of obtaining long-term residency or citizenship can be complex, with individuals required to meet eligibility criteria such as residency periods and language proficiency tests. Foreign nationals typically need to navigate visa regulations, residency permits, and other legal requirements to establish permanent residency in India⁵.

India's diverse cultural landscape and regional disparities play a significant role in shaping settlement choices and experiences. Different regions vary widely in terms of language, culture, customs, and socioeconomic factors, influencing the preferences of individuals seeking to settle⁶. Some regions may offer a warm and inclusive environment, making it easier for newcomers to integrate and adapt. In contrast, other regions may present challenges related to cultural differences or socioeconomic disparities, requiring individuals to navigate additional hurdles during the settlement process.

Overall, settling in India entails not only overcoming bureaucratic hurdles and fulfilling legal requirements but also adapting to the diverse cultural and regional nuances of the country. Despite the challenges, India's vibrant cultural tapestry and rich heritage offer unique opportunities for personal and professional growth, making it an appealing destination for those seeking to make it their home.

Canada:

Canada's immigration system is indeed celebrated for its inclusivity and diversity, offering pathways to permanent residency and citizenship through various immigration programs. These

⁵ Jaro Jasmin, A Comparative Study of Fundamental Rights in India and Canada, chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.ijsr.net/archive/v6i6/24061710.pdf, Last seen on 25/04/2024

⁶ Dr. Durga Das Basu, *Comparative Constitutional Law*, 136-152 (3rd Edition)

programs prioritize attracting skilled workers, entrepreneurs, and family members of Canadian citizens and permanent residents, reflecting Canada's commitment to building a diverse and prosperous society.

However, the immigration process in Canada can be competitive, with applicants subject to rigorous assessment based on factors such as education, work experience, language proficiency, and adaptability. The points-based system, employed in programs like the Express Entry system, evaluates candidates on these criteria and assigns scores to determine their eligibility for permanent residency. This system ensures that immigrants who possess the skills and attributes most valued by Canada have the opportunity to settle and contribute to the country's growth and development.

Once settled in Canada, immigrants enjoy the rights and privileges of Canadian citizenship, including access to healthcare, education, social services, and the opportunity to fully participate in Canadian society. Canada's commitment to multiculturalism and diversity ensures that immigrants are welcomed and supported in their integration efforts, fostering a sense of belonging and inclusion for individuals from all backgrounds.

Overall, Canada's immigration system reflects the country's values of openness, tolerance, and respect for diversity, making it an attractive destination for individuals seeking new opportunities and a better quality of life. Through its inclusive policies and supportive infrastructure, Canada continues to enrich its society and economy through the contributions of immigrants from around the world⁷.

Judicial Pronouncements

India:

Lalai Singh Yadav v. State of U.P. and Ors. (1987)⁸: This case dealt with the issue of citizenship and the rights of individuals belonging to ethnic communities who migrated from Bangladesh to India. The Supreme Court of India reaffirmed the principles of equality and non-discrimination enshrined in the Constitution, emphasizing the importance of protecting the rights of all citizens, including migrants and refugees.

⁷ Vivek Krishnamurthy, Colonial Cousins: Explaining India and Canada's Unwritten Constitutional Principles, <chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnbpcjpcglclefindmkaj/https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/72839538.pdf>, Last seen on 25/04/2024

⁸ Lalai Singh Yadav v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1987

Satwant Singh Sawhney v. D. Ramarathnam, Assistant Passport Officer (1967)⁹: In this landmark case, the Supreme Court of India upheld the right to travel abroad as a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Constitution, emphasizing the importance of freedom of movement for individuals. The case played a significant role in shaping immigration laws and regulations in India, emphasizing the importance of respecting individuals' rights to travel and settle.

Canada:

Singh v. Minister of Employment and Immigration (1985)¹⁰: This case challenged the Canadian government's immigration policies, particularly the discriminatory practices against refugees from India and other countries. The Supreme Court of Canada ruled that the government's policy violated the Canadian Bill of Rights and the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, affirming the principle of equality and non-discrimination in immigration matters.

Vancouver Society of Immigrant and Visible Minority Women v. M.N.R. (1999)¹¹: This case addressed the issue of gender discrimination in Canadian immigration policies. The Federal Court of Canada ruled that the government's policy of denying immigration benefits to women on the basis of their marital status was unconstitutional and violated the equality rights guaranteed under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The case led to significant changes in immigration policies to ensure gender equality and non-discrimination in the immigration process.

These cases highlight the importance of protecting the rights of immigrants and refugees, ensuring equality and non-discrimination in immigration policies, and upholding fundamental rights and freedoms in both India and Canada.

Conclusion:

"In conclusion, this comparative exploration of the right to settle in India and Canada underscores the diversity in their approaches to immigration and residency. While India prioritizes the fundamental right to reside and settle within its borders, Canada's legal framework emphasizes mobility rights and embraces inclusive immigration policies. Despite these divergent approaches, both nations provide avenues for individuals to establish roots, contribute to society, and pursue a better quality of life.

It is crucial for policymakers, immigrants, and citizens alike to recognize and appreciate these differences. By understanding the nuances of the right to settle, we can foster more inclusive and

⁹ Satwant Singh Sawhney v. Ramarathnam, Assistant Passport Officer, AIR 1967

¹⁰ Singh v. Minister of Employment and Immigration, AIR 1985

¹¹ Vancouver Society of Immigrant and Visible Minority Women v. M.N.R., AIR 1999

welcoming societies while upholding the values of diversity, equality, and freedom. Moreover, this comparative analysis highlights the importance of informed decision-making in immigration policy, ensuring that regulations align with societal values and needs.

Moving forward, continued research and dialogue on the right to settle will be essential for addressing emerging challenges and opportunities in immigration and integration. By promoting mutual understanding and cooperation between nations, we can create environments where individuals from all backgrounds feel valued, respected, and empowered to contribute to their communities.

Ultimately, the right to settle is not just a legal concept; it is a reflection of our shared humanity and the desire for belonging and opportunity. By working together to navigate the complexities of immigration and residency, we can build stronger, more vibrant societies that celebrate diversity and uphold the dignity and rights of all individuals."

Bibliography:

1. The Constitution of India
2. Comparative Constitutional Law, Dr, Durga Das Basu, Third Edition
3. A Comparative Study of Fundamental Rights in India and Canada, Jaro Jasmin
4. Colonial Cousins: Explaining India and Canada's Unwritten Constitutional Principles, Vivek Krishnamurthy
5. Lalai Singh Yadav v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1987
6. Satwant Singh Sawhney v. Ramarathnam, Assistant Passpost Officer, AIR 1967
7. Singh v. Minister of Employment and Immigration, AIR 1985
8. Vancouver Society of Immigrant and Visible Minority Women v. M.N.R., AIR 1999